Decentralizing Climate Action: Leveraging Blockchain Technology for Enhanced Transparency and Efficiency in Carbon Removal and Credit Markets

Zurab Meskhidze

NFT FOREST GEORGIA LLC

zurab@nftforest.ge

Abstract

The accelerating climate crisis, driven primarily by CO_2 emissions, necessitates robust and trustworthy mechanisms for climate mitigation, with carbon credits serving as a crucial market-based tool. These credits grant the owner the license to emit a certain quantity of greenhouse gas (typically one metric ton of CO_2 equivalent). The global carbon credit market is expanding rapidly, projected to grow from nearly $979 billion to over $2.6 trillion by 2028. However, this vital system is hindered by pervasive issues, including the complexity and inefficiency of manual carbon footprint calculations, lack of transparency and traceability, and the critical risks of double-counting and fraud. This paper explores how Blockchain technology, leveraging decentralized ledgers, smart contracts, and tokenization, offers definitive solutions to these systemic failures, enabling a secure, transparent, and highly efficient ecosystem for carbon removal projects and credit trading.

1. Introduction: The Urgency for Integrity in Climate Finance

Immediate and verifiable climate action is mandatory to address global warming. Carbon credits, which incentivize emitters to reduce output or invest in clean energy projects, are critical components of the response. The mechanics of this market involve companies either reducing emissions or purchasing credits. Carbon credits differ from carbon offsets; credits are permits to emit, often used in compliance markets like "cap-and-trade," while offsets represent verified reductions or removals of CO_2.

1.1. Challenges of the Traditional Carbon Market

The effectiveness of current carbon markets is compromised by fundamental challenges inherent in traditional processes:

Manual Calculation Inaccuracy: Manually tracking and quantifying carbon emissions, especially for large organizations with complex operations, is overwhelming and error-prone. Data collection is challenging, and human errors in calculation or inconsistent data formats compromise reliability. Furthermore, manually keeping up with the dynamic nature of emissions factors is difficult, leading to outdated calculations.

Lack of Transparency and Trust: The existing market suffers from a lack of traceability, making it difficult to track a carbon credit's origin and journey from project development to retirement. This environment fosters mistrust, allowing for fraudulent activities, such as selling credits from non-existent projects.

Double-Counting: A persistent major concern is when the same emission reduction is claimed by multiple parties or under different programs.

Verification Difficulty: Assessing the actual impact of offset projects, particularly those related to land use and forestry, is complex and uncertain. Determining the crucial "additionality"-whether the reductions would have occurred without the credit revenue-is a persistent challenge.

The integration of Blockchain technology is anticipated to accelerate, especially given that national standards, such as those being developed by the People's Republic of China's Ministry of Industry and Information Technology, are incorporating the technology into the carbon sector.

2. Blockchain Architecture for Enhanced Carbon Accountability

Blockchain technology, functioning as a decentralized database or digital ledger, provides features uniquely suited to address the core failures of the carbon market, enhancing transparency, security, and efficiency.

2.1. Trust and Data Integrity. Blockchain utilizes a distributed, secured, and shared ledger to record and track data.

- Immutability and Transparency: The immutability of blockchain records ensures that once data related to emissions is added, it cannot be altered retroactively. This feature prevents unauthorized changes, enhancing the accuracy and reliability of calculations. The transparency of the distributed ledger allows stakeholders to trace every emission offset transaction, minimizing the room for manipulation or fraud.
- Tokenization for Double-Counting Prevention: Blockchain enables the tokenization of carbon credits. Each carbon credit is represented by a unique digital token, making it technically impossible to spend or claim the same credit more than once, thereby eliminating double-counting. Tokenization also allows for fractional ownership, enabling smaller investors to participate in climate action.
- IoT and Real-Time MRV: A key contribution is the use of IoT-compatible smart sensors to calculate energy usage and generate data that is debugged and aggregated directly onto the blockchain. This integration ensures real-time data updates, addressing the issue of dynamic emission factors and improving the granularity and accuracy of monitoring, reporting, and verification (MRV).

2.2. Smart Contracts and Automation. Smart contracts are self-executing, irreversible digital agreements written into code and executed on the blockchain.

- Process Automation: Smart contracts automate key processes such as the issuance, transfer, and retirement of carbon credits once predefined conditions are met. This automation minimizes the number of steps required, leading to faster transactions.
- Incentive Systems: Smart contracts are described as a "fantastic instrument" for motivating participation in global green activities. They can create globally accessible, fully automated incentive systems that reward positive actions like regenerative agriculture or carbon offsets.
- Compliance and Regulation: Smart contracts can be programmed to enforce adherence to relevant regulations and standards, ensuring that carbon credits meet required criteria and fostering a compliant market.

3. Application in Carbon Removal and Decentralized Finance

Blockchain technology is actively used to drive investment and participation in genuine carbon removal projects, particularly nature-based solutions.

High-Integrity Carbon Removal Projects. For carbon offsets to be legitimate, they must meet the criteria of AVID+: Additional, Verifiable, Immediate, and Durable, plus co-benefits.

- Verifiable Natural Carbon Sinks: The preservation or restoration of tropical peatlands is considered a potentially high-integrity offset method because the massive amounts of carbon stored in the waterlogged soil are quantifiable. Measuring changes in the land's elevation, verifiable remotely by plane or satellite, provides a precise measure of stored or released carbon.
- Fast-Growing Carbon Sinks: Certain fast-growing trees, such as the Empress Splendor (Paulownia) tree, are highly effective carbon sinks. These trees grow three times faster than traditional trees, absorb over 300 kg of CO2 per growth cycle, and are capable of regenerating after being cut.
- Tokenized Reforestation Models: Innovative financial models are leveraging tokenization for reforestation. For example, a unique digital asset, such as an NFT, can represent a real, growing Paulownia tree. As the tree grows and sequesters CO2, generating carbon credits, those credits can be sold to companies seeking offsets. When the timber is harvested, the profits return to the NFT holder, linking sustainability and profitability.

Empowering Individual Climate Action. Blockchain facilitates the shift toward one-person carbon trading, addressing the historical problem that the public often does not value carbon emissions, leading to a lack of market growth.

- Incentivizing Low-Carbon Behavior: Individuals who engage in low-carbon activities (like taking public transportation, recycling, or saving electricity) can accumulate carbon credits, often called "carbon coins" or "energy," which are deposited into a blockchain account.
- Real-World Examples: In 2016, Shenzhen, China, piloted a carbon currency trading platform coordinated with urban management and traffic police, allowing residents to earn carbon coins usable for public services. Similarly, the "Forest of Ants" initiative in China tallies reduced emissions as virtual "energy" to grow a virtual tree, leading to the planting of a real tree by financial partners, motivating users toward low-carbon environmental protection behavior.

4. Discussion: Implementation Considerations and Regulatory Future

Technical Architecture and Energy Efficiency. When implementing blockchain solutions for enterprise or climate use cases, the choice of network architecture is critical, particularly concerning energy consumption.

- Energy Consumption Context: The global Bitcoin network consumed 90.86 TWh and 37.97 MtCO2eq of electricity during a stated period, equating to 0.08 percent of the world's annual total CO2eq footprint. Companies calculating their carbon impact from interacting with such networks must distinguish between renewable and fossil energy sources utilized.
- Enterprise-Grade Permissioned Networks: For enterprise applications where high transaction speed, performance, and data privacy are paramount, permissioned or consortium blockchains are often preferred. Quorum, a fork of the Ethereum codebase created by JP Morgan, is specially designed for use in a private or consortium blockchain network.
- ◦ Quorum is a lightweight fork of Geth (Go Ethereum) and offers increased transaction and contract privacy.
- ◦ Quorum utilizes alternative consensus mechanisms like RAFT-based Consensus (a crash fault-tolerant model) and Istanbul BFT, which allow for faster block generation and transaction finality than public, permissionless chains, making it suitable for enterprise transactions where speed is valued. Quorum is ideal for scenarios requiring known network

participants and high privacy, such as supply chain tracking or financial transactions involving sensitive information.

Regulatory and Market Maturity. The efficiency of carbon trading systems fundamentally depends on the existence of clear, enforceable standards. Historically, unregulated carbon credit systems have often been abused, necessitating tighter regulation.

- Current Regulatory Gaps: Despite intensive negotiations at COP28, mandatory, widely accepted regulations for carbon offsets are still lacking, meaning the market continues to operate in the “wild West”. This absence of oversight has led to many scandals, including multiple claims to protect the same forest or claims on land that was already legally protected.
- Path Toward Integrity: The transparency inherent in blockchain aids in auditability. Furthermore, the success of the aviation industry’s CORSIA (Carbon Offsetting and Reduction Scheme for International Aviation), which adopted "very tough guidelines" for project eligibility, demonstrates that solutions are achievable when political will and necessity align.
- Platform Development Costs: Building a robust blockchain-based carbon credit platform typically requires a significant financial commitment, with costs ranging from $60,000 to over $200,000 for advanced solutions. Development involves meticulous phases, including strategic research into standards (like Verra or Gold Standard) and technical development utilizing token standards (e.g., ERC-20, ERC-721/1155) and decentralized storage solutions like IPFS

5. Conclusion

The existing carbon credit market suffers from structural flaws related to manual inefficiency, lack of traceability, and the persistence of double-counting. Blockchain technology presents a compelling solution by establishing a decentralized, immutable, and transparent platform. By leveraging tokenization, blockchain technologically eradicates the risk of double-counting. The use of smart contracts automates verification and trading, drastically reducing administrative burden and costs, while IoT integration provides the real-time, granular data necessary for high-integrity MRV.

From funding verifiable natural climate solutions-such as those utilizing fast-growing Paulownia trees in tokenized investment models-to enabling mass public participation via "carbon coins", blockchain is transforming how climate action is financed and verified. Though regulatory clarity is still developing, the integration of robust, permissioned architectures like Quorum for enterprise applications, combined with public chains for transparency, is poised to drive the massive shift in global spending patterns required to mitigate climate change effectively.

References:

1. "Blockchain's Impact on Carbon Footprint Reduction - Redefining Climate Action." (Source provided as document excerpt).
2. "Explained: Carbon credits." MIT News, Massachusetts Institute of Technology. (February 28, 2024).
3. "GreWeCo Intro." YouTube, GreWeCo. (Video transcript detailing NFT forests and Paulownia trees).
4. "How to Develop a Blockchain-Based Carbon Credit Platform." (Published: 6 March, 2025; Updated: 1 October, 2025). (Source provided as document excerpt).
5. "Quorum Blockchain - Create on Quorum with Rejolut." (Source provided as document excerpt).
6. Kussainov, Shokan. "World Tree – Invest in Trees. Harvest Profits. Help the Planet." CarbonCredits.com. (June 17, 2025). (Disseminated on behalf of World Tree).